- (4) K. D. Magers, C. G. Smith, and D. T. Sawyer, Inorg. Chem., in press. (5) F. Basolo, B. M. Hoffman, and J. A. Ibers, Acc. Chem. Res., 8, 384 (1975).
- (6) C. J. Weschler, B. M. Hoffman, and F. Basolo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 5278 (1975)
- (7) J. M. Wood, R. L. Crawford, E. Munck, R. Zimmerman, J. D. Lipscomb, R. S. Stephens, J. W. Bromley, L. Que, Jr., J. B. Howard, and W. H. Orme-Johnson, J. Agric. Food. Chem., 25, 698 (1977).
 R. L. Heath, Int. Rev. Cytol., 34, 49 (1973).

Keith D. Magers, Cecil G. Smith, Donald T. Sawyer*

Department of Chemistry, University of California Riverside, California 92521 Received September 26, 1977

Novel Electrochemical Reactions of Compounds Containing Strong Metal-Metal Bonds. Oxidation of Rhenium(II) Dimers, Re₂X₄(PR₃)₄, by **Both EECC and ECEC Pathways**

Sir:

The use of electrochemistry to probe the chemical properties of metal systems is well established.¹ However, while much interest has centered on the structural and chemical properties of rhenium dimers,^{2,3} little use has been made, with the exception of studies involving the octachlorodirhenate(III) anion,⁴⁻⁶ of electrochemical techniques for the study of these and other metal halides containing strong metal-metal bonds.

As previously reported,^{2,7} the reduction of the octahalodirhenate(III) anions by monodentate tertiary phosphines affords complexes of the type $\text{Re}_2X_4(\text{PR}_3)_4$, where X = Cl or Br. Subsequently, Cotton and Pedersen⁸ investigated the properties of Re₂Cl₄(PEt₃)₄ and [Re₂Cl₄(PEt₃)₄]⁺ using electrochemical and electron paramagnetic resonance experiments. While they found that the rhenium(II) dimer can be oxidized to the 1+ and 2+ ions

$$\operatorname{Re_2Cl_4(PEt_3)_4} \xrightarrow{-e} [\operatorname{Re_2Cl_4(PEt_3)_4}]^+ \xrightarrow{-e} [\operatorname{Re_2Cl_4(PEt_3)_4}]^{2+}$$

and that the 1+ ion was reasonably stable, electrochemical studies on this system were not pursued further. As a consequence of our interest in the chemical and redox properties of metal phosphine complexes which contain metal-metal bonds of multiple bond orders,^{7,9} we have investigated the electrochemical properties of the complete series of rhenium(II) dimers of the type $Re_2X_4(PR_3)_4$, where X = Cl, Br, or I and R = Et, Pr^n or $Bu^{n,10}$ in order to explore the consequences of oxidizing species which possess two electrons over and above those of a fully occupied $\sigma^2 \pi^4 \delta^2$ configuration.² This study has led to the discovery of a novel form of electrochemical behavior for this series of transition metal complexes.

The electrochemical properties of this series of complexes are summarized in Table I. In Figure 1A is shown a cyclic voltammogram¹² of Re₂Cl₄(PPr₃)₄ (this compound will be used as a representative example of all the $Re_2X_4(PR_3)_4$ compounds studied) which demonstrates that the dimer has two electrochemical reversible,¹³ one-electron (by coulometry) oxidations.

However, upon electrolysis at 0.0 V (n = 0.98),¹⁴ in addition to the major product, $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{PPr}_3)_4]^+$, two new waves $(E_{1/2})^+$ = +0.31 and -0.88 V) appear in the resultant cyclic voltammogram (Figure 1B). The origin of these new waves was found to be $Re_2Cl_5(PPr_3)_3$, since a cyclic voltammogram of an analytically pure sample of Re₂Cl₅(PPr₃)₃ shows two waves at $e_{1/2}$ = +0.31 and -0.88 V. Further oxidation at +1.0 V (i.e., past the second wave of $\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{PPr}_3)_4$ (n = 0.95)) produces $[Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4]^{2+}$, $[Re_2Cl_5(PPr_3)]_3^+$, and another wave at



Figure 1. Cyclic voltammograms in 0.2 M TBAH-dichloromethane of A, Re₂Cl₄(PPr₃)₄; B, solution A following oxidation at 0.0 V; C, solution A following oxidation at +1.0 V (scan rate 200 mV/s at a Pt-bead electrode vs. the saturated sodium chloride calomel electrode at 22 \pm 2 °C).

Table I. $E_{1/2}$ Values for Rhenium Dimers, $Re_2X_4(PR_3)_4$,^{*a*} in Dichloromethane^b

Complex	$E_{\perp/2}(\mathrm{ox})(1)^c$	$E_{1/2}(\mathrm{ox})(2)^{c}$
Re ₂ Cl ₄ (PEt ₂) ₄	-0.42	0.80
$Re_2Br_4(PEt_3)_4$	-0.31	0.825
$Re_2I_4(PEt_3)_4$	-0.27	0.77
$Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4$	-0.44	0.79
$Re_2Br_4(PPr_3)_4$	-0.38	0.84
$Re_2I_4(PPr_3)_4$	-0.22	0.85
$Re_2Cl_4(PBu_3)_4$	-0.44	0.82
$Re_2Br_4(PBu_3)_4$	-0.40	0.82
$\operatorname{Re}_2I_4(\operatorname{PBu}_3)_4$	-0.25	0.825

^a X = Cl, Br, I; R = C_2H_5 , C_3H_7 , C_4H_9 . ^b With 0.2 M tetra-nbutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAH) as supporting electrolyte. ^c Volts vs. the saturated sodium chloride calomel electrode (SSCE) with a Pt-bead working electrode.

 $E_{1/2} = -0.11$ V in the resultant cyclic voltammogram (Figure 1C). This new wave at $E_{1/2} = -0.11$ V was found to be associated with $\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{PPr}_3)_2$; a pure sample of $\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{PPr}_3)_2$ was found to have a wave at -0.11 V in its cyclic voltammogram. $Re_2Cl_6(PPr_3)_2$ can also be electrochemically generated by oxidation of $\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{PPr}_3)_4$ at +0.5 V. These two pathways for the electrochemical production of $Re_2Cl_6(PPr_3)_2$ from Re₂Cl₄(PPr₃)₄ are summarized in Schemes I and II and are representative of EECC and ECEC processes,¹⁵ respectively. The difference between these two schemes is the potential used for the oxidation. If the oxidation is carried out at 1.0 V, then the initial product will be $[Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4]^{2+}$ which will then, by a series of chemical reactions $(C_1' \text{ and } C_2)$, produce $Re_2Cl_6(PPr_3)_2$. If the oxidation potential is 0.5 V, then the first product will be $[Re_2Cl_4(Pr_3)_4]^+$ which will, by a chemical step (C_1) , be converted to Re₂Cl₅(Pr₃)₃. Since 0.5 V is anodic of the $E_{1/2}$ for Re₂Cl₅(Pr₃)₃, then this complex will in turn be oxidized and the resultant [Re₂Cl₅(Pr₃)₃]⁺ will, by a chemical step (C₂), form $\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_6(\text{Pr}_3)_2$. Therefore, the choice of pathway which produces $Re_2Cl_6(Pr_3)_2$ from $Re_2Cl_4(Pr_3)_4$ is governed by the electrochemical potential used for the oxidation.

Scheme I. EECC Process

 $[\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_4(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_4]^0 \xrightarrow{-e} [\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_4(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_4]^+$ $[\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_4(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_4]^+ \xrightarrow{-e} [\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_4(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_4]^{2+}$ $[\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_4(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_4]^{2+} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Cl}'} [\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_5(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_3]^+$ $[\operatorname{Re}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{5}(\operatorname{PPr}_{3})_{3}]^{+} \xrightarrow{C_{2}} [\operatorname{Re}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{6}(\operatorname{PPr}_{3})_{2}]^{0}$



Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms in 0.2 M TBAH-dichloromethane following exhaustive electrolysis of Re₂Cl₄(PPr₃)₄ at +1.0 V: A, 15 min after complete electrolysis; B, 30 min; C, 120 min (scan rate 200 mV/s at a Pt-bead electrode vs. the saturated sodium chloride calomel electrode at 22 ± 2 °C); 1, Re₂Cl₄(PPr₃)₄; 2, Re₂Cl₅(PPr₃); 3, Re₂Cl₆(PPr₃)₂.

Scheme II. ECEC Process

 $[\operatorname{Re}_{2}Cl_{4}(\operatorname{PPr}_{3})_{4}]^{0} \xrightarrow{-e} [\operatorname{Re}_{2}Cl_{4}(\operatorname{PPr}_{3})_{4}]^{+}$ $[\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_4(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_4]^+ \xrightarrow{\operatorname{C}_1} [\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_5(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_3]^0$ $[\operatorname{Re}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{5}(\operatorname{PPr}_{3})_{3}]^{0} \xrightarrow{-e} [\operatorname{Re}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{5}(\operatorname{PPr}_{3})_{3}]^{+}$ $[\operatorname{Re}_{2}Cl_{5}(\operatorname{PPr}_{3})_{3}]^{+} \xrightarrow{C_{2}} [\operatorname{Re}_{2}Cl_{6}(\operatorname{PPr}_{3})_{2}]^{0}$

Figure 2 shows a series of cyclic voltammograms, at different times, of a solution of $Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4$ after oxidation at +1.0 V. (For the sake of clarity and because oxygen was allowed into the solution, only the anodic half of the cyclic voltammogram is pictured.) These cyclic voltammograms indicate that, once $[\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_5(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_3]^+$ $(E_{1/2} = +0.31 \text{ V})$ is formed, it is fairly quickly converted to $\operatorname{Re}_2\operatorname{Cl}_6(\operatorname{PPr}_3)_2$ $(E_{1/2} = -0.11 \text{ V})$, while the rate of conversion of $[Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4]^{2+}$ to $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cl}_5(\text{PPr}_3)_3]^+$ is somewhat slower (i.e., $k_{C_1'} < k_{C_2}$). Because of the experimental conditions involved in these oxidations, the use of peak currents as a measure of change in concentration is not very reliable. While the individual cyclic voltammograms in Figure 2 can be taken as a measure of the relative concentration of reaction products, they should not be used to determine the reaction stoichiometry. However, coulometry can be used to determine, at least semiquantitatively, the yields of $Re_2Cl_6(PR_3)_2$ from the oxidation of $Re_2Cl_4(PR_3)_4$.¹⁶

Following Scheme II electrochemically is difficult because conversion of a 10^{-3} M solution of $[Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4]^+$ to $Re_2Cl_5(PPr_3)_3$ (reaction C₁) takes ~24 h. Despite the fact that reaction C_1 is slow, the very low value for the first oxidation of $\text{Re}_2 X_4 (\text{PR}_3)_4$ (-0.44 to -0.25 V) makes them susceptible to aerial oxidation, thereby requiring freshly made samples for electrochemical determinations.17

The mechanisms of reactions C_1 , C_1' and C_2 do not involve a disproportionation step since the amounts of $Re_2Cl_5(PPr_3)_3$ and Re₂Cl₆(PPr₃)₂ formed (estimated from coulometric determinations) from the oxidation of $Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4$ do not

conform to any obvious reaction stoichiometry. Also, we have no electrochemical evidence for the formation of any other rhenium halide-phosphine complexes (e.g., $ReX_3(PR_3)_3$ and $\text{ReX}_4(\text{PR}_3)_2)^{18,19}$ as a result of such a disproportionation reaction. We believe that the mechanism for the oxidation of Re₂Cl₄(PPr₃)₄ involves the break-up of some of the dimer units, a process which is the rate-determining step in these coupled reactions. This releases chloride ions which are then incorporated in the oxidized dimer [Re₂Cl₄(PPr₃)₄]⁺ to form Re₂Cl₅(PPr₃)₃ and finally Re₂Cl₆(PPr₃)₂.²⁰ Strong support for this contention is the observation that addition of an external source of chloride ions²² to a dichloromethane solution of $[Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4]^+$ leads to the almost instantaneous formation of Re₂Cl₅(PPr₃)₃. Similarly, oxidation of $Re_2Cl_5(PPr_3)_3$ to $[Re_2Cl_5(PPr_3)_3]^+$ (at +0.5 V) in the presence of added Cl⁻ affords Re₂Cl₆(PPr₃)₂. Since we find that the electrochemical behavior of Re₂Cl₄(PPr₃)₄ in acetonitrile is identical with that observed in dichloromethane, this rules out the involvement of the solvent in the reaction mechanisms.

Electrode processes with coupled homogeneous chemical reactions are a central theme in electroanalytical chemistry. While these processes are well known for organic systems,²³ relatively few studies have been reported involving metal systems.^{24,25} The discovery that the electrochemical oxidation of $Re_2X_4(PR_3)_4$ proceeds by both EECC and ECEC coupled reaction series is quite remarkable and of considerable general interest because, to our knowledge, not only are there no previous reports of ECEC and EECC reaction series¹⁵ involving metal systems, but the occurrence of both reaction pathways within the same system is unprecedented. Our results point to the existence of extensive series of coupled reactions in the electrochemistry of metal complexes containing strong metal-metal bonds (triple or quadruple)²⁶ where oxidation (or reduction) processes involving metal based orbitals can occur without disruption of the metal-metal bonds.

Further elucidation of the kinetics and mechanisms of the electrochemical oxidation of $Re_2X_4(PR_3)_4$ is being currently pursued in our laboratory.

Acknowledgments. We thank the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE74-12788A02) for generous research support. We are most grateful to Professor T. J. Meyer, University of North Carolina, for permitting us access to his electrochemical equipment in order to carry out some early preliminary studies and to Professor D. W. Margerum for use of his cyclic voltammetry equipment in this department.

References and Notes

- (1) See C. K. Mann and K. K. Barnes, "Electrochemical Reactions in Nonaqueous Systems", Marcel Dekker, New York, N.Y., 1970, and references therein.
- (a) F. A. Cotton, B. A. Frenz, J. R. Ebner, and R. A. Walton, J. Chem. Soc., (2)Chem. Commun., 4 (1974); (b) F. A. Cotton, B. A. Frenz, J. R. Ebner, and (3) J. R. Ebner, D. R. Tyler, and R. A. Walton, *Incg. Chem.*, **15**, 833
- (1976)
- (4) F. A. Cotton, W. R. Robinson, and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 6, 1257 (1967).
 (5) R. R. Hendriksma and H. P. van Leeuwen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 18, 39
- (1973). (6) F. A. Cotton and E. Pedersen, *Inorg. Chem.*, **14**, 383 (1975).
- J. R. Ebner and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 1987 (1975).
 F. A. Cotton and E. Pedersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 97, 303 (1975). (7)
- (9) H. D. Glicksman, A. D. Hamer, T. J. Smith, and R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chem., 15, 2205 (1976). (10)
- The preparations of the chloride and bromide derivatives have been de-scribed previously.⁷ Our recent isolation¹¹ of the octaiododirhenate(III) salt (Bu₄N)₂Re₂I₈ has permitted us a direct synthetic route to Re₂I₄(PR₃)₄. H. D. Glicksman and R. A. Walton, unpublished work.
- (12) All cyclic voltammetry and coulometry experiments were carried out in CH2Cl2 with 0.2 M tetra-n-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAH) as supporting electrolyte. All potentials are referenced to the saturated sodium chloride calomel electrode (SSCE) and are uncorrected for junction potentials. Either a platinum bead (for cyclic voltammetry) or a platinum gauze (for coulometry) electrode was used as the working electrode.
- (13) $\Delta E_{\rm p} \simeq 60$ mV and $i_{\rm p,a}/i_{\rm p,c} \simeq 1$; see R. W. Murray and C. N. Reilley,

"Electroanalytical Principles", Interscience, New York, N.Y., 1963.

- (14) Values of n, where n is the total number of electrons transferred in exhaustive electrolysis at constant potential, were calculated after measuring the total area under current vs. time curves for the complete reaction. Reactions were judged to be complete when the current had fallen to 1% of its initial value.
- (15) E means an electrochemical reaction, either an oxidation or a reduction, while C means a chemical reaction. Thus an EC reaction would be an electrode process followed by a chemical reaction.
- Yields of Re₂Cl₆(PR₃)₂ are in the range of 60-70%
- (17) A benzene solution of Re₂Cl₄(PEt₃)₄ when exposed to O₂ produces Rec2ls(Pt_3) together with small quantities of ReQ₄⁻ and phosphine oxide; see C. A. Hertzer, M.S. Thesis, Purdue University, 1977.
- (18) J. Chatt, C. M. Elson, N. E. Hooper, and G. J. Leigh, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2392 (1975).
- (19) D. J. Salmon and P. A. Walton, unpublished observations. (20) When a solid sample of $Re_2Cl_4(PPr_3)_4$ is exposed to the air, the major oxidation product is Re2Cl5(PPr3)3 together with a small amount of perrhenate. The presence of the latter species was confirmed by infrared spectroscopy (ν_{Re-O} at 900 cm⁻¹) and ESCA measurements. Re 4f_{5/2}/₂ binding energies at 47.9 and 45.9 eV are typical of the ReO₄⁻⁻ anion.²¹ (21) D. G. Tisley and R. A. Walton, *J. Mol. Struct.*, **17**, 401 (1973).
- 0.05 M tetraethylammonium chloride.
- (23) R. N. Adams, "Electrochemistry at Solid Electrodes", Marcel Dekker, New York, N.Y., 1969
- (24) R. E. Dessy and L. A. Bares, Acc. Chem. Res., 5, 415 (1972), and references therein
- (25) F. R. Keene, D. J. Salmon, and T. J. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 4821 (1977).
- (26) F. A. Cotton, Chem. Soc. Rev., 27 (1975).

D. J. Salmon, R. A. Walton* Department of Chemistry, Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Received August 8, 1977

Cationic Cyclization of Allenes. Preferential Cyclization via Vinyl Cations

Sir:

An area of continuing interest in the development of cationic olefin cyclizations as a method for generating carbocyclic ring systems involves study of variations in the π moiety participating in these cyclizations.¹ We report herein the results of cyclization studies involving γ -allenyl cation systems (1) which differ markedly from results reported previously³ with δ -allenyl cation systems (2).

Cyclizations involving δ -allenyl cations (or their equivalent) have been shown to result in attack on the central carbon of the allene to form products resulting from an allylic cation $(2 \rightarrow$ 3). Since the formation of five-membered rings in a similar fashion would be useful synthetically, we decided to examine some γ -allenyl cation systems to determine if reaction would lead to the allyl system 4 or the vinyl system 5.^{5,7}



We chose allylic alcohol 10 as a substrate for our cyclization studies because of its ready availability and its expected ease of cyclization. The cyclization of the corresponding allenyl ketone 9 was also investigated. The substrates for cyclization were prepared as shown in Scheme I.8 Reaction of propargylmagnesium bromide⁹ with the allylic halide 6^{10} in ether gave the acetylene 8 in good yield.11 However, reaction in THF with added HMPA gave the allene 11 containing only $\sim 20\%$ acetylene.13 Hydrolysis of the mixture and removal of acetylenic material by precipitation with silver nitrate in methanol



gave pure ketone 9. Reduction then gave alcohol 10. Hydrolysis and reduction of acetylene 8 led to the related acetylenic compounds 11 and 12.

Treatment of alcohol 10 with anhydrous formic acid gave, after hydrolysis, a single (NMR, GC, TLC) product in 70% yield. The product was shown to be the cis-octalone 13 by comparison (IR, NMR) with an authentic sample prepared by oxidation of alcohol 14.14 No evidence was obtained for an allylic alcohol product. The same ketone 13 was obtained (70% yield) from cyclization of acetylenic alcohol 12.15 Alcohol 10 did not undergo cyclization with trifluoroacetic acid under conditions used by Johnson and Hall.^{3a}

Preferential formation of a six-membered ring was also observed in the cyclization of ketone 9. Treatment of 9 with either acetic anhydride in acetic acid with perchloric acid catalysis (66% yield)¹⁶ or with trifluoroacetic anhydride in trifluoroacetic acid (74% yield)17 gave, after hydrolysis, the crystalline (mp 115-116 °C) cis diketone 15. This material was identical (melting point, IR, NMR) with material prepared by cyclization of 4-(3-butenyl)-3-methyl-2-cyclohexenone^{12,14} with TFAA/TFA, followed by hydrolysis and Jones oxidation. Diketone 15 was also obtained in a 90% yield by TFAA/TFA cyclization of acetylenic ketone 11.



Thus these cyclizations of γ -allenyl systems gave only products derived from cationic attack on the terminus of the allene. No evidence for five-membered ring products was obtained. This divergence from previous results with δ -allenyl cation systems³ where attack only on the central carbon of the allene was observed demonstrates that additional studies are necessary to define the factors controlling the course of cationic cyclizations involving an allene as the π molety. One potentially important difference between the γ - and δ -allenyl systems can be discerned by examination of molecular models. A δ -allenyl cation 2 readily interacts with the C-2,C-3 π bond of the allene $(2 \rightarrow 16)$. The orbital overlap in 16 is exactly analogous to the overlap found in cyclization of δ -alkenyl systems to form sixmembered rings. It has been demonstrated that, although electrophilic attack on an unsubstituted allene takes place preferentially at the terminal carbon, substitution with alkyl groups leads to increased attack at the central carbon.^{6,18} Thus the reaction of 2 through 16 to give 3 may be a result of alkyl substitution at C-3 of the allene. However, examination of a model of cation 1 shows that overlap with the C-2,C-3 π bond of the allene is much less favorable. In fact the orthogonal C-1,C-2 π bond appears to overlap very favorably $(1 \rightarrow 17)$. Thus, a fundamental difference exists between 16 and 17. In

Scheme I